UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA

[This form is for prisoners to sue for civil rights violations. <u>NEATLY</u> print in ink (or type) your answers.]

LY EVANS

[You are the **PLAINTIFF**, print your full name on this line.]

3:22-cr-871-JD-MGG

v. Case Number

[For a new case in this court, leave blank.

, The court will assign a case number.]

The **DEFENDANT** is who you are suing. Put <u>ONE</u> name on this line. List ALL defendants below, including this one.]

> [The top of this page is the caption. Everything you file in this case must have the same caption. Once you know your case number, it is VERY IMPORTANT that you include it on everything you send to the court for this case. **DO NOT** send more than one copy of anything to the court.]

AMENDED PRISONER COMPLAINT

#	Defendant's Name and Job Title	Work Address
1	[Put the defendant named in the caption in this box.] JACQUELINE MONACO	ISP- Medical Dept. ONE Park ROW MIChigan City, IN 46360
2	[Put the names of any other defendants in these boxes.] WEXFORD OF INDIANA, LLC	9245 N-Meridian St. Indianapolis, IN, 46260
3		

If you are suing more defendants, attach an additional page. Number each defendant. Put the name, job title, and work address of each defendant in a separate box as shown here.]

1.	How many	, defendants	are vou	suing?	2	

[DO NOT write in the margins or on the back of any pages. Attach additional pages if necessary.]

3. Did the event you are suing about happen there? ② Yes. O No, it happened at:		
4. On what date did this event occur? OCTOBER 25, 2020 events began		
CLAIMS and FACTS		
DO: Write a short and plain statement telling what each defendant did wrong.		
DO: Use simple English words and sentences.		
DO NOT: Quote from cases or statutes, use legal terms, or make legal arguments.		
DO: Explain when, where, why, and how each defendant violated your rights.		
DO: Include every fact necessary to-explain your case and describe your injuries or damages.		
DO: Number any documents you attach and refer to them by number in your complaint.		
DO NOT: Include social security numbers, dates of birth, or the names of minors.		
DO: Use each defendant's name every time you refer to that defendant.		
DO: Number your paragraphs. [The first paragraph has been numbered for you.]		
1.		
SEE PAGE 6		
I AM ATTACHING ALL CLAIMS AND FACTS		
BECAUSE THIS FORM IS INADEQUATE DUE TO		
ITS LINE SPACING MISPRINTS.		
THIS IS THE FORM THE I.S.P. LAW LIBRARY PROVIDE		
IF IT'S A MESS, THE COURT OUGHT TO PROVIDE A BETTER ONE!		
A BETTER ONE!		
Leg Cum		

SEE:

USDC IN/ND case 3:22-cv-C ro Se 14 (INND Rev. 2/20)	10871-SJF	document 11	filed 08/14/23	page 3 of 16
				<u> </u>
Si to a different de la continua di				
Claims and Facts (continued)				
		 .		
······				
				<u> </u>
	<u></u> ,			
	<u> </u>			
	<u></u>			
		 		
weird	Live Sp	acing - UNU	SABLE	
	£	J		
	SEE	PAGE 6		
	," -	·		
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

 \mathcal{SEE} : [DO NOT write in the margins or on the back of any pages. Attach additional pages if necessary.]

document 11 filed 08/14/23

page 4 of 16

IN/ND case 3:22-cv-00871-SJF

-4-

[DO NOT write in the margins or on the back of any pages. Attach additional pages if necessary.]

SEE:

I declare <u>under penalty of perjury</u> that the state	
I placed this complaint in the prison mail system or [Do not fill in this date and time until you give the complete.]	
Signature	— 158293 Prisoner Number

CLAIMS and FACTS 22-cr-871

- (1) On 10-13-2020 at 9 am the I-Cellhouse residential unit at Indiana State Prison where Plaintiff Ty Evans resided was placed on a quarantine lockdown due to prisoner Matthew Thies #223125 testing positive for COVID-19.
- (2) On 10-12-2020 Evans had close contact with Thies when Thres visited Evans' cell requesting over-the-counter Medication.
- (3) From 10-13-2020 thru 11-23-2020, Wexford enforced a policy, procedure, or practice whoreby Wexford staff visited I-Cellhouse deily, taking forehead temperatures of prisoners, and allowing to be tested for CoVID-19 ONLY IF the prisoner had a temperature above 100°F. for two consecutive days.
- (4) * Every mention of policy, procedure, or practice in this Complaint is listed here SOLELY to provide a basis for establishing a MONELL claim.
- (5) Evans' maximum temperature during the above lockdown period was 99.2°F, so Evans was never given a test to see if he had contracted COVID-19.
- (6) On 10-26-2020 Evans submitted a Health Care Request Form (HCRF), No. 087152, to Wexford, complaining of

coughing, shortness of breath, and headaches that have gotten "MUCH WORSE" in the lest month. Evans wrote that he would "rough constantly such that I cannot sleep beyond 15 minutes at a time." It was "EXHAUSTING and PAINFUL." Evans requested treatment.

- (7) An HCRF is screened by a Werford employee when it is received. A nurse does the screening by looking at the prisoner's computerized medical file, which has the prisoner's age, height, weight, and complete medical history while incarcerated.
- (8) Defendant Nurse Jacqueline Monaco Screened

 Evans' HCRF on 10-27-2020. Monaco is not a

 clerical worker but a licensed nurse.
- (9) This is what Nurse Monaco KNEW when she screened Evans' HCRF NO. 087152:
 - A- Evans lived in a unit on quarantine because over a dozen prisoners there tested positive for COVID-19.
 - B- Evans' HCRF included COVID-19 symptoms, which were severe coughing, headaches, shortness of breath, and insomnia that was exhausting and painful-
 - C- Prisoners with Covid-19 symptoms should be tested for Covid-19.

- D-She knew Evans had been complaining of pneumonia symptoms since September 2020.
- E-knew Evans was classified as obese, with a BMI of 36, and knew this heightened Evans' risks if he had COVID-19.
- F- Knew Evans was 58 years old, which heightened Evans' COVID-19 175k.
- G-Knew Evans had not been given an Annual Health Screening in almost four years, which would have been used to further identify if Evans was diabetic, another could-la risk factor.
- H- Knew that Evans described a COVID-19 set of symptoms, because she wrote on HCRF
 No. 087152: "COVID-19 negative, sent to ER."
- (10) Nurse Monaco made the inference that Evans was complaining of COVID-19 symptoms, when she wrote her reply on Evans' HCRF.
- (1) In fact, Evans was Not COVID-19 negative; he was COVID-19 positive.
- (12) Evans was never tested for COVID-19 at Indiana State Prison.
- (13) In October 2020 the CoVID-19 pandemic was seven months along, and the drug Rendesivir

was known by medical personnel like Nurse Monaco to be widely available and highly effective in alleviating COVID-19's progression IF, and ONLY IF, the virus was detected in its early stage of contraction.

- (14) On 10-27-2023 Nurse Monaco Knew Evens needed to be tested for COVID-19. Monaco Knew she could ask any other nurse visiting I-cellhouse to do the test. Monaco chose NOT to have Evans tested for COVID-19.
- (15) Monaco knew COVID-19 was a "serious medical need" and that the virus, if undetected and untreated, could cause a rapid and painful death.
- (16) Had I-Cellhouse not been on lockdown, Evans would have been called to "sick call" by Monaco on 10-27-2020. Monaco Knew sick call passes would not be passed out until after lockdown.
- (17) The suspension of sick call lines was a Wexford policy, practice, or procedure (not a prison policy). This is tisted here for MONELL claim reasons.

- (18) Evans complained of COVID symptoms to other nurses visiting I-Cellhouse once a day, but he was not given a COVID-19 test by those nurses because he did not have a fever in excess of 100°F.
- (19) Those nurses who physically saw Evans were constrained by Wexford's practice of not testing prisoners for COVID-19 unless they were over 100°F two days in a row; therefore MONELL liability should apply.
- (20) Evans continued to complain, rerbally to other nurses, of being hypoxic, unable to taste, having swollen ankles, and having extreme exhaurtion, but they were unable to offer a COVID-19 test due to Wexford's 100° temperature practice.
- (21) On 11-2-2020 I-cellhouse came off lockdown.
- (22) On 11-3-2020 Monaco issued a pass for Evans to go to sick callet Ipm. However, et 10 am all medical passes were cancelled, and Evans was not seen by Nurse Monaco or any other medical staff. At 3pm I-Cellhouse returned to quarantme lockdown due to another prisoner testing positive for COVID-19.

- (23) Monaco did not re-issue a pass for Evans to go to STCK cell, and she Still did not arrange for any other Wexford employee to give Evans a COVID-19 test.
- (24) On 11-5-2020 Evens was unable to walk due to swelling in his legs. Evens had a severe cough, extreme chest congestion, was hypoxic and disoriented, was sleep deprived, and had extreme shortness of breath, nearly unable to breathe at all. Evens qualified for and declared a medical emergency to a correctional officer.
- (25) Officers carried Evens from the third floor to a Wheelchair and took him to medical. Evens' blood oxygen saturation was only 70%. Evans was placed on an oxygen tank, whereby his blood oxygen saturation reached 78%. Dr. Nancy Marthakis immediately called an aubulance to transport Evans to Franciscan Hospital's Emergency Room.
- (26) Other than about 10 minutes on the oxygen tank, Evans received NO MEDICAL CARE from the Defendants, Manaco and Wexford.
- (27) Nurse Monaco knew Evans had a potentially "Serious medical need" and she was "deliberately indifferent" to that need by doing NOTHING for Evans.

(28) As someone wrote in SNYDER v. IDOC,
2022 USDC Lexis 198592 (N.D. Ind.), quoting
the 7th Circuit in GOODLOE v. 500D, 947
F.3d 1026, 1031 (7 Cir. 2020):

Nevertheless, "inexplicable delay in responding to an immate's serious medical condition can reflect deliberate indifference," particularly where "that delay exacerbates an immate's medical condition or unnecessarily prolongs suffering."

In the SNYDER case, the liability attached to the first person who knew about the serious mederal need and did nothing.

- (29) Monace was the first weekford employee to recognize Evans had a serious madical need, and she did nothing but dolay or refuse to provide medical treatment.
- (30) At Franciscan Hospital's ER, Evans was diagnosed with bacterial pneumonia, pulmonary embolism, deep vein thrombosis, diabetes, and was found COVID-19 positive through an antigen (blood) test. This was 11-5-2020.
- (31) Evans was given Remdesivia, but it had no effect because Evans' COVID infection had progressed too far. Had COVID-19 been detected earlier, Rendesivia may have been effective.

- (32) On 11-7-2020 Evans was moved into ICU and endured a rigorous course of treatment. Nurses at Franciscan later informed Evans that they initially put his chances of recovery at "no better than 50-50."
- (33) In ICU, Evens was so weak that he could berely roll to his side to allow nurses to clean him. He was placed on a Bifap machine that forced Oxygen into his lungs, and was given numerous medications by injection, over 100 injections in all. He experienced extreme pain and overwhelming fatigue and was given physical therapy to be able to resume standing then walking. Respiratory therapists helped him try to re-attain lung capacity. It was an extraordinarily painful ordeal.
- (34) On 11-17-2020 Evans recovered sufficiently to be moved out of the ICU.
 - (35) On 11-18-2020 of 4pm Evans was discharged back to ISP. At ISP he was placed in the Infirmary Ward, under quarantine, and on an oxygen machine.
 - (36) on 12-1-2020 Evans was released from the ISP Infirmary and returned to cell I-310-8 in I-Cellhouse.

- (37) On 12-1-2020 Evens discovered Monacols
 Written response to his 10-26-2020 HCRF.
 Her response was dated 11-4-2020 and
 read: "COVID-19 negative, sent to ER."
 [EXHIBIT A in Original Complain+]
- (38) The Franciscan bill for Evans' treatment was over \$98,000.
- (39) On 6-1-2021 Evans self-reported unusual personal behavior indicating stress related to his COVID-19 experience.
- (40) Long term, Evans' recovery has remained difficult.

 Whereas prior to COUID he could do 50 push-ups

 In one minute, or 50 sit-ups, or 100 jumping jacks,

 today Evans struggles to do 10, 10, and 30 of

 those exercises.
- (HI) As for exhaustion of administrative remedies, Evans exhausted to the fullest extent he was allowed, as related back in the Original Complaint, Section V, #1 thry #15. If the Defendants request a PAVEY hearing, all copies of the grievance process Evans exhausted will be provided.

- (42) Nurse Monaco is liable because she knew Evans was at risk it he had COVID-19, knew Evans was complaining of COVID symptoms, she correctly identified in writing that this was a COVID-19 case, and knew she could order Evans to be tested for COVID-19 as early as 10-27-2020. Monaco's "deliberate indifference" was her choice to do nothing for Evans even though proactive choices were available. A responsible medical professional does not ignore COVID symptoms, particularly during a spike in COVID Cases as we experienced in October 2020. Doing nothing for Evans was not just negligence, it was for beyond negligence - it was deliberate and totally indifferent.
- (43) Responses to COVID-19 like Monaco's, and wexford's treatment-restrictive practices, are why prisoners died at a rate about double that of the free-world population in America. The dead didn't survive to sue their medical providers, I did. This suit should go forward.

 Sylvans 8/14/2023

Law Library Supervisor Indiana State Prison 1 Park Row Michigan City, IN. 46360

IRST-CLASS







Northern District Court
South Bend Division
U.S. Courthouse
204 S. Main Street
South Bend, IN 46601

INDIANA STATE PRISON LAW LIBRARY LEGAL MAIL